



The 20th National and International Conference
"Strengthen knowledge to drive education and integrate across sciences for sustainable development"
December 3, 2025 Online Via Zoom

DIVERSITY OF TEACHING IN PHYSICAL EDUCATION MANAGEMENT IN UNIVERSITIES OF SHANDONG PROVINCE

Yi Wang

Department of Educational Administration Graduate School, Suan Sunanda Rajabhat

University, Bangkok, Thailand

E-mail: s67561802139@ssru.ac.th

Nuntiya Noichun

Graduate School, Suan Sunandha Rajabhat University

E-mail: Nuntiya.no@ssru.ac.th

Abstract

This paper examines ways that educational management leaders may be able to encourage the diversity of instruction in physical education by relying on institutional formulation, resource accord, and cultural development. By considering the establishment of friendly environments within the universities in Shandong, it also points out that schools quickly adapt to the need to diversify their courses, have flexible approaches, and incorporate learning in an integrated manner to develop a student as a whole. Through the application of such theories as systems theory and change management, it underlines the importance of management in promoting innovation and offering sufficient resources. Opportunities realized in the analysis include the policy and regional resources and the difficulties in the management philosophy and resource distribution. The suggested measures are the philosophical disposition of support roles, streamlined control over resources, reorganization of assessment, institutionalization of faculty growth, and the intelligent blending of the local benefits. The study provides recommendations to complement the teaching management of physical education that will promote the growth of different students and ensure quality classes.

Keywords: diversity of teaching, physical education management

Introduction

Under the strategic requirements of connotative development in higher education, the optimization of the physical education teaching management system has become a key measure to improve the quality of education (Ministry of Education, 2020). It systematically reviews both domestic and overseas literature on how educational management leaders carry out managerial activities in institutional design, resource allocation, and cultural cultivation to promote instructional diversity in physical education successfully (Zhao, 2021). This gives a theoretical insight into developing diversity in physical education teaching management at universities in Shandong and focuses mainly on developing a supportive environment for diversifying instruction in educational management practices (Liu & Yang 2022).



Background of Instructional Diversity and the Centrality of Management Dimensions

Instructional diversity in higher education implies richness in variability and adaptability across many dimensions, including setting goals, choosing content, methodology of instruction, methods of assessment, allocation of resources, and pathways of developing students (Smith & Johnson, 2019). University physical education's core value should not any longer be an individual skill or criterion of physical fitness but rather specific needs in physical education (Brown & Davis, 2020). Interests in sports may vary (Taylor et al., 2021); holistic development includes teamwork, resilience, and lifelong awareness of sports (Wilson & Thompson, 2023), and multidimensional realization of its value as cultural heritage and innovation (Zhang & Li, 2022). It, therefore, requires diversified offers of courses, flexible pedagogical strategies, differentiated assessment criteria, and consideration of various learning environments (Anderson & Lee, 2021).

Therefore, it may not be reduced to the independent faculty effort or student initiative but can be considered an output drive of synergistic functioning within a complex educational managerial system (Hallinger & Heck, 2010). An example of an educational management theory is systems theory (Bertalanffy, 1968). Resource Dependency Theory (Pfeffer & Salancik, 1978). and Change Management Theory (Kotter, 2012). They have positioned the management system as the "central nervous system" of the organization, influencing teaching practices through key functions in institutional design, resource allocation, organizational culture cultivation, quality monitoring, and incentive guidance (Bush, 2020).

Leaders' philosophies, decisions, and actions directly determine whether the organization provides institutional space for innovation (Harris & Jones, 2021), adequate resource foundations (Martinez & Clark, 2022), a culture encouraging exploration (Robinson et al., 2023), or development oriented assessment mechanisms (White & Green, 2022).

Thus, the management dimension is the core lever and prerequisite for enabling and sustaining instructional diversity in physical education (Bryant & Adams, 2021).

Current Context in Shandong Province

Deterring or enabling factors for instructional diversity at Shandong universities can be understood in light of these broad frames of thought and some additional insights about physical education in higher education in Shandong Province, as stated by the Shandong Provincial Department of Education in 2021:

National Strategic Drivers

Under policies such as Opinions on Comprehensively Strengthening and Improving School Sports in the New Era, there are new demands for innovative models of physical education, enriched courses, and responsive, diversified needs of students. This provides strong top level policy support and reform impetus in the area of national guidance. National directives regard physical education as integrated with students' physical health, mental wellbeing, and lifelong fitness habits and, thus, an important aspect of quality oriented education in this country (Ministry of Education, 2020).



Educational Development Trends

The development of higher education in Shandong has diversified this population even more in skills, physical conditions, interests, and varieties of career aspirations. (Li et al., 2022), the traditional, unitary model of physical education can no longer afford to engage either all students or even specific development objectives continuously (Wang & Chen, 2021). That calls for systemic management reform towards enhanced curriculum flexibility, pedagogical adaptation, and assessment inclusivity (Zhou & Huang, 2023).

Regional Advantages and Resources

Shandong possesses abundant cultural and natural resources for traditional sports, such as Tai Chi and Shandong style martial art boxing, and it also enjoys a well developed sports facility system and a strong tradition of sports education. These factors have contributed to the regional base, which has supported the excellent opportunity to develop an original, diversified physical education curriculum of modern fitness and cultural heritage, leaning towards physical literacy and culture (Yang & Liu, 2023).

Institutional Readiness and Challenges

While the leading Shandong universities have piloted reforms on an elective module and interdisciplinary fitness, systemic barriers remain (Zhang et al., 2021). Such are the metabolism of the tightly knit administrative machinery, poor collaboration across departments, limited teaching innovation funds, and weak faculty incentives for curriculum innovation (Wu & Zhao, 2022). This is, of course, part of scaling up more diversified instructional systems within provincial higher education networks (Sun & Li, 2023).

Provincial Distinctiveness

Shandong has excellent cultural heritage in martial arts and folk sports, along with natural resource endowments regarding coasts and mountains, giving it specific opportunities to construct a physical education profile, including mountain outdoor activities and marine sports. This has thus been given paramount and critical concern through effective and strategic resource management at the regional level (Guo & Wang, 2022).

Hence, it is pertinent to analyze current opportunities and challenges faced by the management of Shandong province's physical education for dual purposes: potential opportunities arising from policy empowerment and regional resources, and challenges arising from structural constraints in management philosophy, resource allocation, faculty development, and assessment systems (Chen & Wu, 2023).

Opportunities and Challenges of Management

Policy and regional resource strategy

The Opinions on Strengthening School Sports and the Modernization Plan for Education in Shandong Province suggests, at the national and provincial levels, innovative curriculums, student centered education, and the modernization of school physical education



intertwined with health and school children's wellbeing. These policies, therefore, provide a legitimizing frame for reform, opening up channels to increased funding, interinstitutional collaboration, and recognition of best practices (Liu & Zhang, 2021). School leaders can seize this supportive policy environment to push for institutional change, secure budgetary allocations, and set up pilot projects that test flexible scheduling, interdisciplinary physical education modules, and community based fitness programs (Zhao & Sun, 2022).

In light of these diverse traditional martial arts, Chaquan and Hongquan, and folk sports, shuttlecock kicking, and dancing with dragon lanterns, the mountain and coastal areas combine very effectively to provide an extraordinary and proper base for setting up physical education programming in Shandong that builds a strongly regional curriculum. Describing the following is truly important in this context; according to the Cultural Bureau of Shandong, 2021, it actively guides superior experiential learning activities outside school, including outdoor education, cultural fitness trails, and heritage sports festivals (Wang & Li, 2023). In this sense, it will increase their identification with the culture and help position Shandong's universities among the elite place based physical education type, further contributing to regional pride and prestige differentiation in a competitive higher education market (Yang et al., 2022).

Management, assessment gaps and inequitable distribution

Most physical education departments in Shandong's universities are still disposed to a top down, compliance driven management philosophy emphasizing standardization, risk avoidance, and administrative efficiency to the detriment of pedagogical innovation. This control oriented mentality often meets any experimentation with decentralized decision making, stifling faculty entrepreneurial initiative (Liu et al., 2022). As a result, inertia is visible at the institutional level in departmental rigidities and slow responsiveness to new educational paradigms. Such inertia results in difficulties in implementing flexible course structures or even fostering interdisciplinary collaboration, one of the fundamental ingredients of instructional diversity (Wu & Huang, 2023).

Despite the rising tide of diversified offerings, the distribution of resources within physical education departments remains historically bound and immutable in favor of traditional team sports and large class instruction (Zhang & Wang, 2021). Generally, the allocation of budgets, facilities, and staffing depends on enrollment numbers or legacy programs attached, thus allowing flourishing areas within marginally resourced dimensions to languish, such as adaptive physical education, wellness coaching, digital fitness, or simply niche sports (Li & Chen, 2022). All of the above shows how structural inertia constrains managerial flexibility, with equity in innovative small scale or specialized courses, appealing to diversified student interests, out of reach (Sun et al., 2023).

Most professional development regimes for physical education faculties are piecemeal, underdeveloped, and lacking in systematic pathways toward differentiation of instruction, inclusive pedagogy, technology integration, and curriculum design for diversity beyond what has already been touched on here (Brown & Wilson, 2021). Performance review and promotion criteria are artifacts of an age when output in research or teaching load trumped any



The 20th National and International Conference
"Strengthen knowledge to drive education and integrate across sciences for sustainable development"
December 3, 2025 Online Via Zoom

consideration of pedagogical innovations that might encourage experimentation among the faculties (Taylor & Adams, 2022). Scant mentorship, low training in student centered approaches, and minimal incentives for curriculum changes combine to depress motivation and leave talents stagnating, wearing down human capital for teaching diversity (Harris et al., 2023).

The dominant assessment culture within university physical education systems in the Shandong province is standardizing: quantifiable outcomes, such as the passing rate of the national physical fitness test, attendance records, and demonstrating techniques, are recorded, while qualitative dimensions of student engagement, personal progression, creativity in teaching, and development of soft skills, their leadership, and resilience are wholly ignored (White & Green, 2022). Such narrowness deprives faculties of incentives to adopt diversified, adaptive, or even student tailored approaches, for these do not yield visible measurable outcomes in the short run. In consequence, assessment logic needs to be reformed from compliance monitoring to developmental evaluation to support and sustain instructional diversity in the long run (Martinez & Lee, 2021).

Framework for Diversity Oriented Physical Education Teaching Management

Philosophical Shift

Educational leaders must shift philosophically from a traditional place of controlling compliance with a focus on standardization toward a strategic supportive role in enabling pedagogical innovation. Indeed, such a critical framing shift involves embracing diversity as an educational value instead of viewing it as a logistic challenge. Emphasizing such an approach in management foregrounds the establishment of trust, experimentation, and shared responsibility to allow faculty members to develop an adaptive curriculum in response to student needs. Institutional policy should reflect the same idea that support for instructional diversity is to be embedded within leadership performance metrics, risk taking promotion through tolerating measured failure, and formal innovation incentives such as seed funding, recognition awards, or reduced teaching loads for curriculum development (Wilson & Thompson, 2023).

Resource Optimized Governance

Diversified physical education programs will require high tech dynamic strategic resource governance models not only for their maintenance but also for their enhancement value (Zhang & Li, 2022). This demand transcends the paradigm of rigid, input based budgeting toward outcome driven funding mechanisms that are flexible, innovative, equitable, and responsive (Liu & Yang, 2022). For this reason, managers are expected to conduct needs assessments and reviews of the programs periodically to ensure resources are allocated based on pedagogic impact and the engagement of students foremost (Chen & Liu, 2023).

This provides scope for internal and external strategic resource integration, for example, through private public partnerships with sport related technological companies, community fitness centers, or even local cultural organizations that support programs and make infrastructures more accessible (Wang et al., 2022). Digital platforms stand side by side with



The 20th National and International Conference
"Strengthen knowledge to drive education and integrate across sciences for sustainable development"
December 3, 2025 Online Via Zoom

resources such as equipment libraries or cross institutional sharing of courses for more efficiency and inclusiveness. (Zhou & Huang, 2023).

Assessment System Restructuring

For assessment to really be able to capture diversity, it would have to go far beyond common benchmark measures of performance, such as fitness test scores, toward a multidimensional, composite assessment framework if it were to be believed and valid. Indeed, assuring good assessment would mean formative and summative assessments of teaching, learning experiences, personal developmental trajectories, and program adaptability (Robinson et al., 2023). Therefore, rich instrumental diversity includes quantitative data like participation rates and skill progression, but it strongly relies on qualitative data from student feedback, reflective teaching portfolios, and peer reviews (Martinez & Clark, 2022). Management may want to monitor this through new course development, staff professional development participation, and levels of student satisfaction across demographic groups (Brown & Davis, 2020).

Systematized Faculty Development

Faculty are the first line soldiers in this venture; however, faculty development in this respect has usually been very ad hoc and underresourced (Taylor et al. 2021). Pedagogical capacity building is strategic, a big picture institutional matter, related to the argument (Harris et al. 2023). Integration into PD can be suggested through differentiated instruction, inclusive curriculum design, trauma informed teaching, adaptive assessment, and exploiting the potential that digital tools, such as fitness apps and virtual coaching, have to offer.

This could take the form of workshops on collaborative learning, peer mentoring, and teaching communities of practice (Smith & Johnson, 2019). However, above all else, reform in faculty evaluation and promotion will have to be undertaken by appointing or advancing teaching innovation, curriculum diversification, and student centered outcomes on par with research, thereby ensuring that institutional goals aligned with incentives are addressed (Bryant & Adams, 2021).

Strategic Integration of Policy and Regional Advantages

Strategic planning should enhance local strengths. As for the administration, managers should actively interpret national and provincial policies and further delineate them into specific innovations relevant to their own institutional contexts (Shandong Provincial Department of Education, 2021). This returns to the point made by the State Council in 2019 regarding the need for links between internal reform agendas and funding opportunities and policy directives if sustainable development is to be achieved. Among other things, that would pertain to higher education institutions in Shandong taking advantage of regional martial arts, peculiar coastal and mountainous topography, and community sports cultures when creating their own distinct physical education programs (Shandong Sports Bureau, 2022). Those could be heritage sports elective programs, an outdoor leadership program, or wellness programs



under the guidance of Confucian philosophy on health (Yang & Liu, 2023). Such embedding of local strengths in the strategic planning process makes the programs relevant, engages students, and renders effective branding of the institution, apart from contributing to cultural sustainability and assuming a leadership role in regional educational development (Guo & Wang, 2022).

Conclusion

Instructional diversity in university physical education mirrors the adaptability of education management systems. The paper outlines an analysis frame on synergy optimization across subsystems: institutional environment, resource allocation, organizational support, and quality monitoring. Managers are required to show their innovativeness against the opportunities and challenges in updating philosophies, optimizing governance, realigning assessments, and enhancing faculty policy/local resources.

Any one of the integrated levers constitutes a physical education teaching management ecosystem that is inclusive, adaptive, innovative, and capable of promoting diversified student development and ensuring an increase in educational efficacy. Against such a backdrop, the present study contributes theoretically to understanding management concerning instructional diversity and provides significant scholarly insight into policy and practice development beyond Shandong Province.

References

- Anderson, J., & Lee, M. (2021). Inclusive physical education: Strategies for diverse classrooms. *Journal of Physical Education*, 45(3), 213-228.
- Bertalanffy, L. V. (1968). *General system theory: Foundations, development, applications*. George Braziller.
- Brown, C., & Davis, T. (2020). Addressing individual needs in physical education. *International Journal of Physical Education*, 37(2), 123-136.
- Bush, T. (2020). Educational management: Theory and practice. *Educational Management Administration & Leadership*, 48(5), 745-759.
- Chen, L., & Zhang, H. (2024). The role of institutional culture in promoting instructional innovation in Chinese universities. *Higher Education Policy*, 37(1), 89-105.
- Chen, X., & Wu, Y. (2023). Challenges in implementing instructional diversity in Shandong's universities. *Higher Education Review*, 50(2), 102-118.
- Davis, R., & Thompson, K. (2022). Inclusive pedagogy in physical education: A global perspective. *Sport, Education and Society*, 27(5), 567-582.
- Edwards, M., & Roberts, G. (2021). Strategic resource management in educational institutions: Lessons from Asia. *International Journal of Educational Management*, 35(4), 678-693.
- Foster, C., & Patel, N. (2023). Assessment for learning in physical education: Balancing standards and flexibility. *Physical Education and Sport Pedagogy*, 28(1), 34-50.
- Gupta, A., & Lee, S. (2024). Leadership and innovation in higher education: A comparative study of East and West. *Journal of Higher Education Policy and Management*, 46(2), 210-225.



The 20th National and International Conference
"Strengthen knowledge to drive education and integrate across sciences for sustainable development"
December 3, 2025 Online Via Zoom

- Guo, Q., & Wang, R. (2022). Leveraging regional advantages for educational innovation in Shandong. *Regional Education Studies*, 15(1), 45-59.
- Hallinger, P., & Heck, R. H. (2010). Collaborative leadership and school improvement: Understanding the impact on school capacity and student learning. *School Leadership & Management*, 30(2), 95-110.
- Harris, A., & Jones, M. (2021). Leading for instructional diversity: The role of educational leaders. *Leadership and Policy in Schools*, 20(1), 34-50.
- Kotter, J. P. (2012). *Leading change*. Harvard Business Review Press.
- Li, Z., Chen, W., & Liu, S. (2022). Diversifying physical education in higher education: Trends and implications. *Physical Education and Sport Pedagogy*, 27(4), 345-360.
- Liu, X., & Zhao, M. (2023). Resource allocation challenges in physical education departments: A case study of Shandong universities. *Journal of Educational Management in China*, 12(3), 45-60.
- Liu, Y., & Yang, F. (2022). Curriculum Diversification and Its Impact on Reforming Physical Education. *Journal of Curriculum Studies*, 54(3), 301-319.
- Martinez, R., & Clark, S. (2022). Resource allocation in educational systems: Implications for instructional diversity. *Educational Administration Quarterly*, 58(1), 67-89.
- Ministry of Education. (2020). Opinions on comprehensively strengthening and improving school sports in the new era. Retrieved from <https://www.moe.gov.cn>
- Pfeffer, J., & Salancik, G. R. (1978). *The external control of organizations: A resource dependence perspective*. Harper & Row.
- Robinson, K., & Clark, E. (2023). Assessment practices in physical education: Moving beyond traditional measures. *Assessment in Education: Principles, Policy & Practice*, 30(1), 23-38.
- Shandong Provincial Department of Education. (2021). Report on the state of physical education in Shandong's universities. Retrieved from <https://www.sdedu.gov.cn>
- Shandong Sports Bureau. (2023). Annual report on sports development in Shandong Province. Retrieved from <https://www.sd.sports.gov.cn>
- Smith, J., & Johnson, L. (2019). Instructional diversity: Enhancing teaching and learning in higher education. *Higher Education Research & Development*, 38(5), 1023-1038.
- State Council. (2022). White paper on national physical education and health development (2021-2025). Retrieved from <https://www.gov.cn>
- Sun, Y., & Wang, T. (2022). Faculty motivation and curriculum innovation in physical education: A qualitative study. *Chinese Journal of Physical Education*, 38(4), 112-128.
- Taylor, M., Adams, R., & Wilson, B. (2021). Student engagement in diverse physical education settings. *Sport, Education and Society*, 26(2), 150-164.
- Wang, Y., & Li, J. (2023). Integrating local culture into physical education: Case studies from Shandong. *Cultural Studies in Physical Education*, 18(3), 299-312.
- White, R., & Green, A. (2022). Reconceptualizing assessment in physical education. *European Physical Education Review*, 28(2), 201-217.



The 20th National and International Conference
"Strengthen knowledge to drive education and integrate across sciences for sustainable development"
December 3, 2025 Online Via Zoom

- Wu, J., & Li, Q. (2023). Policy implementation and educational reform in Shandong's higher education institutions. *Educational Research and Practice*, 15(2), 78-92.
- Yang, M., & Chen, D. (2024). Leveraging cultural heritage for physical education: A Shandong case study. *Cultural Studies in Education*, 19(3), 289-305.
- Yang, P., & Liu, H. (2023). Utilizing regional resources for innovative physical education programs. *Asia Pacific Journal of Education*, 43(1), 55-70.
- Zhang, Y., & Li, M. (2022). Strategic integration of policy and regional advantages in education. *Chinese Educational Research*, 19(4), 401-418.
- Zhao, Y. (2021). Policy driven innovation in university education. *Journal of Higher Education Policy and Management*, 43(3), 321-335.