



The 15th National and International Conference
“Global Goals, Local Actions: Looking Back and Moving Forward 2022”
21 March, 2022

systems would greatly help in adding value into the people’s daily life. The most recent evolution in the smart city concept is the smart city 3.0. It looks at cities beyond being technology or city driven. This concept focuses on citizen co-creation. Therefore, the concept of smart city 3.0 looks at broader subjects such as social inclusion, democracy, enterprise creation and building social capital (Safesmart, 2017).

Research Model and Hypotheses Development

A smart city is the result of the efforts of many stakeholders, working together in partnerships of different shape and form. The citizen / user is at the centre of the map, indicating that successful smart cities are always user-centric (Eggers & Bellman, 2015). This research will combine the usage of TAM (Technology Acceptance Model) and SCT (Social Cognitive Theory) to extend the smart city adoption model (Habib, et al., 2020). The direct relationship between Social Cognitive Theory, self-efficacy, self-innovativeness, trust in the State Government of Penang and intention to use smart city technologies are tested. Meanwhile trust in technology is investigated as the mediating role between Technology Acceptance Model and the intention to use smart city technologies.

Technology Adoption Model

The world today revolves around technology and that also means that the government needs to evolve into the e-government services that many might consider it to be a fundamental function that the local, state and even federal government needs to adopt. Smart cities are expected to provide their services through a rise in integration between residents, community and government (AlAwadhi & Scholl, 2013). A very useful theory that can be applied to smart city technology adoption would be the TAM by Davis (1989), it has been used extensively to investigate technology’s acceptance and usage (Dwivedi, et al., 2019).

Social Cognitive Theory

This theory focuses more on the social and economic aspects of tech acceptance. The social cognitive theory is the more widely used theory to explain socially oriented technology (Bandura, 2001) Individuals behaviour in regard to tech acceptance can be understood by looking into concepts that the social cognitive theory provides. It mainly investigates the social influences on the behaviour of the individual. According to Bandura (2001), it is one of the most important theories that explains human behaviour. Additionally, theory of diffusion



The 15th National and International Conference
“Global Goals, Local Actions: Looking Back and Moving Forward 2022”
21 March, 2022

complicated and potentially be very time consuming with a lot of follow ups (Sepasgozar, et al., 2019). With that, this paper would like to introduce the next hypothesis:

H₄: Perceived usefulness has a positive effect on trust in technologies

Compatibility

Compatibility points to the degree to which an urban smart technology is consistent with the potential users existing behaviour (Sepasgozar, et al., 2019). What is even more important would be the compatibility of the new technology to any of the users pre-existing hardware and or software. van Oorschot, et al. (2018) discovered that the technical compatibility is a very strong predictors of technology acceptance, that research also showed that technical compatibility is the key to external technology diffusion while relative advantage would be key for internal diffusion. Hence, this research would like to propose the following hypothesis:

H₅: Compatibility has a positive effect on trust in technologies

Reliability

Reliability explains the confidence of the users to the function and accuracy of the technology service (Sepasgozar, et al., 2019). Hence, reliability is considered as an important factor for users and this paper would like to put forth the following hypothesis:

H₆: Reliability has a positive effect on trust in technologies

Self-Efficacy

Self- Efficacy explains the measure of user’s capability to make use of technology to do certain task. It refers to as a user’s confidence in using a technology effectively (Kabbiri, et al., 2018). It is argued that people with a high self-efficacy for technology can use digital tools with higher frequency and have less fear when using technology. As a result, the following hypothesis is proposed:

H₇: Self Efficacy has a positive effect on intention to use smart city technology

Self-Innovativeness

Previous work regarding self-innovativeness have shown that relationship do exist between technology and innovativeness especially in the context of adaptation of educational technologies (Bubou & Job, 2020). The study also discovered that individual innovativeness is



The 15th National and International Conference
“Global Goals, Local Actions: Looking Back and Moving Forward 2022”
21 March, 2022

Energy Saving

Energy saving refers to the users feeling that they get to save energy by using any smart city technologies. To prevent loss of energy this would be a key construct to relate to it (Chiu, et al., 2006). Therefore, this paper would like to put forth the following hypothesis:

H₁₂: Energy saving is a positive effect on intention to use smart city technology

Time Saving

Time saving refers to the users feeling that they get to save time by using any smart city technologies, making them more time efficient. In the research of Chiu, et al. (2006), it states that conditions that prevent time losses can influence the user’s acceptance of new technology. Therefore, the following hypothesis is proposed:

H₁₃: Time saving is a positive effect on intention to use smart city technology

Trust in Technology

Trust in technology refers to an individual’s analysis of technology related trust issues (Shahzad, et al., 2018). Critical factor when performing an online transaction in a business to consumer sales is trust (Ooi, et al., 2021). When an e-commerce system does not gain the consumers trust the consumers will be unwilling to give their transactional information or personal data for completing their payments online (Ooi, et al., 2021). This explains the following hypothesis:

H₁₄: Trust in technology has a positive effect on intention to use smart city technology

Research Methods

This study mainly focusses on residents that resides in Penang. Based on purposive sampling, data were collected from 458 Penang residents, and analysed using Partial Least Squares Structural Equation Modelling (PLS-SEM). Table 1 presents the results of the structural model.



The 15th National and International Conference
“Global Goals, Local Actions: Looking Back and Moving Forward 2022”
21 March, 2022

References

- AlAwadhi, S., & Scholl, H. J. (2013). Aspirations and realizations: The Smart City of Seattle. **Proceedings of the Annual Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences**, 1695–1703.
- Almuraqab, N. A. S., & Jasimuddin, S. M. (2017). Factors that Influence End-Users’ Adoption of Smart Government Services in the UAE: A Conceptual Framework. **The Electronic Journal Information Systems Evaluation**, 20(1), 11.
- Arpaci, I., Kilicer, K., & Bardakci, S. (2015). Effects of security and privacy concerns on educational use of cloud services. **Computers in Human Behavior**, 45, 93–98.
- Bandura, A. (2001). Social Cognitive Theory: An Agentic Perspective. **Asian Journal of Social Psychology**, 2(1), 1–26.
- Braun, T., Fung, B. C. M., Iqbal, F., & Shah, B. (2018). Security and privacy challenges in smart cities. **Sustainable Cities and Society**, 39, 499–507.
- Bubou, G. M., & Job, G. C. (2020). Individual innovativeness, self-efficacy and e-learning readiness of students of Yenagoa study centre, National Open University of Nigeria. **Journal of Research in Innovative Teaching & Learning**, ahead-of-print (ahead-of-print). <https://doi.org/10.1108/jrit-12-2019-0079>.
- Chiu, C. M., Hsu, M. H., & Wang, E. T. G. (2006). Understanding knowledge sharing in virtual communities: An integration of social capital and social cognitive theories. **Decision Support Systems**, 42(3), 1872–1888.
- Davis, F. D. (1989). Perceived usefulness, perceived ease of use, and user acceptance of information technology. **MIS Quarterly**, 13(3), 319.
- Dwivedi, Y. K., Rana, N. P., Jeyaraj, A., Clement, M., & Williams, M. D. (2019). Re-examining the Unified Theory of Acceptance and Use of Technology (UTAUT): Towards a Revised Theoretical Model. **Information Systems Frontiers**, 21(3), 719–734.
- Eggers, W. D., & Bellman, J. (2015). The journey to government’s digital transformation. **Deloitte University Press**, 44.
- Habib, A., Alsmadi, D., & Prybutok, V. R. (2020). Factors that determine residents’ acceptance of smart city technologies. **Behaviour and Information Technology**, 39(6), 610–623.
- Kabbiri, R., Dora, M., Kumar, V., Elepu, G., & Gellynck, X. (2018). Mobile phone adoption in agri-food sector: Are farmers in Sub-Saharan Africa connected? **Technological Forecasting and Social Change**, 131(October), 253–261.



The 15th National and International Conference
“Global Goals, Local Actions: Looking Back and Moving Forward 2022”
21 March, 2022

- Lee, Y., Hsieh, Y., & Hsu, C. (2015). International Forum of Educational Technology & Society Adding Innovation Diffusion Theory to the Technology Acceptance Model : Supporting Employees ' Intentions to use E-Learning Systems. **International Forum of Educational Technology & Society**, 14(4).
- Legris, P., Ingham, J., & Colletette, P. (2003). Why do people use information technology? A critical review of the technology acceptance model. **Information and Management**, 40(3), 191–204.
- Ooi, S.K., Ooi, C.A., Yeap, J.A.L. & Goh, T.H. (2021). Embracing Bitcoin: users' perceived security and trust. **Quality and Quantity**, 55(4), 1219-1237.
- Pearson, S., & Benameur, A. (2010). Privacy, security and trust issues arising from cloud computing. **Proceedings - 2nd IEEE International Conference on Cloud Computing Technology and Science, CloudCom 2010**, 693–702.
- Penang Institute. (2020). Penang Statistics Quarter 2, 2020. **Penang Statistics**.
- Penang2030. (2019). **A Family-Focused Green and Smart State that Inspires the Nation**. 5. <https://www.penang2030.com/en/>
- Safesmart. (2017). **Smart Cities 3.0**. from <https://safesmart.city/en/smart-cities-3-0/>.
- Sarabdeen, J., & Ishak, M. M. M. (2015). Impediment of Privacy in the Use of Clouds by Educational Institutions. **Journal of Advances in Information Technology**, 6(3), 167–172.
- Schmidhuber, L., Maresch, D., & Ginner, M. (2020). Disruptive technologies and abundance in the service sector - toward a refined technology acceptance model. **Technological Forecasting and Social Change**, 155(June), 1–11.
- Sepasgozar, S. M. E., Hawken, S., Sargolzaei, S., & Foroozanfa, M. (2019). Implementing citizen centric technology in developing smart cities: A model for predicting the acceptance of urban technologies. **Technological Forecasting and Social Change**, 142(August), 105–116.
- Shahzad, F., Xiu, G. Y., Wang, J., & Shahbaz, M. (2018). An empirical investigation on the adoption of cryptocurrencies among the people of mainland China. **Technology in Society**, 55, 33–40.
- van Oorschot, J. A. W. H., Hofman, E., & Halman, J. I. M. (2018). A bibliometric review of the innovation adoption literature. **Technological Forecasting and Social Change**, 134(March 2017), 1–21.
- Viswanath Venkatesh, Michael G. Morris, G. B. D. and F. D. D. (2003). User Acceptance of Information Technology: Toward a Unified View. **MIS Quarterly**, 27(3), 425–478.